IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

ALONZO AUSTIN,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CASE NO. 3:07-CV-0042-MEF
GLOBAL CONNECTION,)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

Upon review of the *Objection to Deadlines*, filed by Plaintiff Alonzo Austin (Doc. 23, filed June 5, 2007), it is, for good cause,

ORDERED that Section 1(A) of the *Scheduling Order* (Doc. 21) shall be amended as follows:

1. **DISCOVERY**

A. *Pre-Discovery Disclosures* of information required by Rule 26(a)(1) shall be exchanged by **June 18, 2007**.

Plaintiff's requests in sections (a) through $(j)^2$ are not necessary to address in the Scheduling Order as Plaintiff may submit to Defendant discovery requests on any subject

Plaintiff's' *Objection to Deadlines* (Doc. 23) was filed as a "Plaintiff Motion for Leave to Object to Magistrate Scheduling Order and to Modify." The Court construes this Motion as Objections to Deadlines.

See pages 2-3 of Plaintiff's Objection to Deadlines (Doc. 23)

related to the litigation. If necessary, any discovery disputes which might arise will then be addressed by the court after the parties confer and attempt to resolve any disputes. As such, these requests are **DENIED** as **not ripe**. All remaining requests - Sections (k) through (r) are **DENIED**. Plaintiff is reminded to review the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as several of the issues brought up by Plaintiff are addressed there.

DONE this 12th day of June, 2007.

/s/Terry F. Moorer TERRY F. MOORER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE